# Sialorrhea: A Management Challenge

NEIL G. HOCKSTEIN, M.D., University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania DANIEL S. SAMADI, M.D., Hackensack, New Jersey

KRISTIN GENDRON, M.D., University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania STEVEN D. HANDLER, M.D., University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Sialorrhea(droolingorexcessivesalivation)isacommon problem in neurologically impaired children (i.e., those with mental retardation or cerebral palsy) and in adults who have Parkinson's disease or have had a stroke. It is most commonly caused by poor or aland facial muscle control. Contributing the control of the controfactors may include hypersecretion of saliva, dental malocclusion, postural problems, and an inability to recognize salivary spill. Sialor rheacauses a range of physical and psychosocial complications,including perioral chapping, dehydration, odor, and social stigmatization, that can be devastating for patients and their families. Treatment of sial or rhea is best managed by a clinical team that includes primaryhealthcareproviders, speech pathologists, occupational therapists, dentists, orthodontists, neurologists, and otolary ngologists. Treatment options range from conservative (i.e., observation, postural changes, biofeed back) to more aggressive measures such as medication, radiation, and surgical therapy. Anticholinergic medications, such as glycopyrrolate and scopolamine, are effective in reducing drooling, but their use may be limited by side effects. The injection of botulinum to xin type Ainto the parotidand submandibular glands is safe and effective in controlling drooling, but the effects and other parotidands of the parotidance of the parotidancefadeinseveralmonths, and repeatinjections are necessary. Surgical intervention, including salivary glandexcision, salivary ductligation, and ductrerouting, provides the most effective and permanent treatment of significant sial or rhea and can greatly improve the quality of life of patients and their contents of the contfamilies or caregivers. (Am Fam Physician 2004;69:2628-34. Copyright © 2004 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

aliva is secreted by the six major salivary glands (two parotid, two submandibular, and two submandibular, and two submandibular, and several hundred minor salivary glands. The major salivary glands produce 90 percent of the approximately 1.5 L of saliva that are secreted per day. In the unstimulated (basal) state, 70 percent of saliva is secreted by the submandibular and sublingual glands. When stimulated, salivary flow increases by five times, with the parotid glands providing the preponderance of the saliva.<sup>1</sup>

The various functions of saliva include mechanical cleansing of the mouth, contributing to oral homeostasis, and helping to regulate oral pH. Saliva also has bacteriostatic and bacteriocidal properties that contribute to dental health and decrease oral odor. It is important in the lubrication of food boluses, and the amylase in saliva begins the digestion of starches.

The parasympathetic nervous system innervates the parotid, submandibular, and sublingual glands with fibers that originate in the pons and

medulla, and synapse in the otic and submandibular ganglia. Postganglionic fibers from the otic ganglion provide secretory function to the parotid gland, and fibers from the submandibular ganglion supply secretory function to the submandibular and sublingual glands. The flow of salivaisenhanced by sympathetic innervation, which promotes contraction of muscle fibers around the salivary ducts.

Sialorrhea (drooling or excessive salivation) is defined as saliva beyond the margin of the lip. This condition is normal in infants but usually stops by 15 to 18 months of age. Sialorrhea after four years of age generally is considered to be pathologic.

Physical and psychosocial complications of sialorrhea range from mild and inconvenient symptoms to severe problems that can have a significant negative impact on quality of life. Physical complications include perioral chapping and maceration with secondary infection, dehydration, and foul odor. The psychosocial complications include isolation, barriers to edu-

cation (such as an inability to share books or computerkeyboards),andincreaseddependency and level of care. Caretakers and loved ones may find it more difficult to demonstrate affection with affected patients, contributing to a potentially devastating stigmatization.

## **Etiology**

Sialorrhea usually is caused by neuromuscular dysfunction, hypersecretion, sensory dysfunction, oranatomic (motor) dysfunction. The mostcommon cause is neuromuscular dysfunction. In children, mental retardation and cerebral palsy are commonly implicated; in adults, Parkinson's disease is the most commonetiology. Pseudobulbar palsy, bulbar palsy, and stroke are less common causes (*Table 1*).

Hypersecretion commonly is caused by inflammation, such as teething, dental caries, and oral cavity infection. Other causes of hypersecretion include side effects from medications (i.e., tranquilizers, anticonvulsants), gastroesophageal

# TABLE 1 **Etiology of Sialorrhea**

Neuromuscular/sensory dysfunction

Mental retardation

Cerebral palsy

Parkinson's disease

Pseudobulbar\*

Bulbar palsy\*

Stroke\*

Hypersecretion†

Inflammation (teething, dental caries, oral-cavity infection, rabies)

Medication side effects (tranquilizers, anticonvulsants)

Gastroesophageal reflux

Toxin exposure (mercury vapor)

Anatomic±

Macroglossia (enlarged tongue)

Oral incompetence

Dental malocclusion

Orthodontic problems

Head and neck surgical defects (i.e., "Andy Gump" deformity)

Sialorrhea usually is caused by neuromuscular dysfunction, hypersecretion, sensory dysfunction, or anatomic (motor) dysfunction.

reflux, toxin exposure (i.e., mercury vapor), and rabies.

Under normal circumstances, persons are able to compensate for increased salivation by swallowing. However, sensory dysfunction may decrease a person's ability to recognize drooling, and an atomic or motor dysfunction may impede the ability to manage increased secretions.

Anatomic abnormalities are usually not the sole cause of drooling but commonly exacerbate other causative conditions. Macroglossia (enlargedtongue) and oral incompetence may predispose patients to salivary spill. Unfortunately, neither of these conditions is easily remedied. Malocclusion and other orthodontic problems may compound oral incompetence; orthodontic correction can reduce sialorrhea.

Surgical defects following major head and neck resection and reconstruction also may cause sialorrhea. The most notable example of theseanatomic defects is "Andy Gump" deformity, which is caused by the loss of the anterior mandibular arch (Figure 1).

## **Assessment of Sialorrhea**

Objective and subjective measures have been developed to quantify sialorrhea. The objective tests using radioisotope scanning and collection cups strapped to the patient's chin are



FIGURE 1. "Andy Gump" deformity. This anatomic defect results from resection of the anterior mandibular arch without adequate reconstruction.

<sup>\*—</sup>Less common.

<sup>†—</sup>Usually controlled by increased swallowing.

<sup>‡—</sup>Frequently exacerbate existing problems.

TABLE 2
System for Assessment of
Frequency and Severity of Drooling

Drooling	Points
Severity	
Dry (never drools)	1
Mild (wet lips only)	2
Moderate (wet lips and chin)	3
Severe (clothing becomes damp)	4
Profuse (clothing, hands, tray, objects become wet)	5
Frequency	
Never drools	1
Occasionally drools	2
Frequently drools	3
Constantly drools	4

Information from Thomas-Stonell N, Greenberg J. Three treatment approaches and clinical factors in the reduction of drooling. Dysphagia 1988;3:75.

used primarily for research purposes. A variety of subjective scales for sialorrhea have been described.<sup>2</sup> One system rates the severity of drooling on a five-point scale and the frequency of drooling on a four-point scale (*Table 2*).<sup>3</sup> Although scales are useful in assessing and monitoring therapy, the impact of sialorrhea on the patient's quality of life is the most important factor in determining the necessity of therapy.

### Management

Treatment of sialorrhea is best accomplished by using a team approach.<sup>4</sup> The primary care physician usually focuses on the complete history and physical examination of the patient, with special attention to the impact of drooling on quality of life and the potential for improvement. Speech pathologists and occupational therapists work with patients to improve their swallowing mechanics and to support their posture with devices such as the headback wheelchair. Dentists and orthodontists assess and treat dental and oral diseases and

malocclusion. Otolaryngologists identify and correct causes of aerodigestive obstruction like macroglossia and adenotonsillar hypertrophy that contribute to drooling. Neurologists, otolaryngologists, and primary care physicians can assess the patient for significant cranial neuropathies.

After a thorough assessment, a consensus on appropriate treatment options should be developed by the treatment team, the patient, and the patient's family. Treatments can be offered in a stepwise fashion, from least invasive, nonsurgical therapies to most invasive.

Forminimal problems, inchildren under four years of age, or in adults with unstable neurologic function, observation is frequently the best option. Minimal problems also can be treated with a feeding programa imedatim proving oromotor control, although this effort is rarely successful.

Any situational factors should be corrected, and dental malocclusion and caries should be treated. Adenotonsillectomy should be performed, when indicated, and patients should be fitted with appropriate wheelchairs and braces, if necessary.

Several orthodontic appliances may be used for the treatment of sialorrhea. Customized plates formed to fit the palate can aid in better lip closure. <sup>5,6</sup> Movable beads can be placed on the upper plate; they stimulate tongue movement, thus helping to deflect saliva toward the pharynx. The use of these beads in combination with swallowing therapy have been successful in patients with moderate sialorrhea. <sup>7-9</sup>

Biofeedback and automatic cueing techniques have successfully treated patients with mild neurologic dysfunction and drooling. One study<sup>10</sup> showed that biofeedback was successful in patients older than eight years who had mild to moderate problems. Patients are trained to associate a behavior with a cue; for example, swallowing or wiping the face is associated with an electronic beep. These devices can be used for several hours a day. The drawback to these devices is that patients become habituated to the stimulus, and the devices become less effective

after repetitive use.11

Positive and negative reinforcement has been described as an adjunct in the management of sialorrhea in patients with moderate neurologic disease. Caretakers praise patients for not drooling or require them to wipe their faces when they forget to swallow.<sup>12</sup>

In a small, prospective study, <sup>13</sup> acupuncture improved sialorrhea based on subjective measures in seven of 10 patients. Over a six-week period, patients were treated 30 times with needles placed in five locations in the tongue. Further study of the effectiveness of acupuncture in the treatment of sialorrhea is warranted.

If sialorrhea continues to interfere with the patient's health and quality of life after non-invasive measures have been tried, medication, radiation, and surgical therapy should be considered.

#### ANTICHOLINERGIC MEDICATIONS

Anticholinergic medications block the parasympathetic innervation of the salivary glands.

The impact of sialorrhea on the patient's quality of life is the most important factor in determining the necessity of therapy.

Several studies<sup>14-17</sup> have demonstrated the effectivenessofglycopyrrolateandscopolamine (Transderm Scop) in the treatment of sial or rhea (*Table 3*).<sup>14-18</sup> Unfortunately, even these relatively selective anticholine rgic medications have side effect profiles that rise in proportion to their efficacy.

Glycopyrrolate is best known for its drying properties and a limited central nervous system activity. Prospective, randomized trials<sup>14,15</sup> of the use of this agent in the treatment of sialorrhea have demonstrated a significant reduction in drooling; however, approximately 20 percent of patients stopped taking the medicine because of side effects, and 23 percent experienced behavior changes. <sup>14,15</sup> Transdermal scopolamine, applied as a patch

TABLE 3
Medications for Treatment of Sialorrhea

Agent	How supplied	Dosage	Side effects	Cost*
Glycopyrrolate	Scored tablets,† 1 or 2 mg	Adults: Start at 0.5 mg orally, one to three times daily; titrate to effectiveness and tolerability: Children: 0.04 mg per kg per dose orally, two to three times daily; titrate to effectiveness and tolerability	Constipation, excessive oral dryness, urinary retention, blurred vision, hyperactivity, irritability	\$ 0.66 per 1-mg tablet
Scopolamine (Transderm Scop)	Patch, 1.5 mg	Apply patch every day	Pruritus at patch site, urinary retention, irritability, blurred vision, dizziness, glaucoma	20.99 per four 1.5-mg patches
Botulinum toxin A	Vial, 100 U per vial	Under ultrasound guidance, injections of 10 to 40 units into each submandibular and parotid gland	Pain at injection site, excessive oral dryness	521.25 per vial

<sup>\*—</sup>Estimated cost to the pharmacist based on average wholesale prices in Red Book. Montvale, N.J.: Medical Economics Data, 2001. Cost to the patient will be higher, depending on prescription filling fee.

Information from references 14 through 18.

<sup>†—</sup>Tablets may be crushed, if patient prefers.

<sup>‡—</sup>The maximum daily dose for adults is 8 mg.

Surgical options in the treatment of sialorrhea include surgery on the salivary glands and ducts, and surgery to denervate the glands.

behind the ear, was well tolerated in short-term studies, <sup>16,17</sup> but its use was limited by side effects of urinary retention and blurred vision.

Anticholinergics are contraindicated in patients with glaucoma, obstructive uropathy, gastrointestinal motility disorders, and myasthenia gravis. Also, these medications often are poorly tolerated in elderly patients who have multiple comorbidities.

### **BOTULINUM TOXIN**

Intraglandular injection of botulinum toxin type A recently has been reported to improve sialorrhea<sup>18</sup> (*Table 3*).<sup>14-18</sup> Under ultrasound guidance, botulinum toxin type A was injected into the bilateral parotid and submandibular glands of 10 adult patients. Nine of the patients improved, and no patient had complications.

## The Authors

NEIL G. HOCKSTEIN, M.D., is a fourth-year resident in the Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia. He received his medical degree from Jefferson Medical College of Thomas Jefferson University, also in Philadelphia.

DANIEL S. SAMADI, M.D., has a private practice in pediatric otolaryngology in Hackensack, N.J. Dr. Samadi received his medical degree from Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore. He completed a residency in otolaryngology—head and neck surgery at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine and a fellowship in pediatric otolaryngology at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.

KRISTIN GENDRON, M.D., is a third-year resident in the Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine. Dr. Gendron received her medical degree from the University of Pennsylvania.

STEVEN D. HANDLER, M.D., is associate director of otolaryngology at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and professor in the Department of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine. Dr. Handler received his medical degree from the University of California—Los Angeles School of Medicine, where he also completed a residency in otolaryngology—head and neck surgery.

Address correspondence to Neil G. Hockstein, M.D., Department of Otorhinolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, University of Pennsylvania Health System, 34th and Spruce Sts., 5 Ravdin, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (e-mail: neilhockstein@verizon.net). Reprints are not available from the authors.

Treatment response lasted approximately five months, making repeat treatments necessary for long-term control.

#### GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX CONTROL

Many developmentally delayed or neurologically impaired patients who have sialorrhea also have significant gastroesophageal reflux. It has been postulated that controlling reflux will reduce drooling; however, this conjecture has not been confirmed by research, and it is unlikely that control of reflux has any clinically significant effect on sialorrhea. <sup>19</sup>

### RADIATION THERAPY

Radiation to the salivary glands is a reasonable treatment option in elderly patients who are not candidates for surgery and cannot tolerate medical therapy.<sup>20</sup> Radiation produces xerostomia that may last months to years. The dose may be titrated to reach the desired effect, and treatment can be repeated as necessary. Malignancies induced by radiation therapy typically do not occur until 10 to 15 years after treatment and, therefore, are less of a concern in patients who are elderly and debilitated.<sup>20</sup>

## SURGICAL OPTIONS

Surgical options in the treatment of sialorrhea include surgery on the salivary glands and ducts, and surgery to denervate the glands (*Table 4*). Surgery to denervate the salivary glands is performed through the middle ear, where the tympanic plexus and chorda tympani travel before entering the major salivary glands. The procedure is relatively simple and fast, and does not require general anesthesia. This surgery has few side effects, and patients typically do not complain of loss of taste. Unfortunately, salivary function returns within six to 18 months, when nerve fibers regenerate.<sup>21</sup>

The most definitive treatment of sialorrhea is surgery to excise the major salivary glands or to ligate or reroute the major salivary ducts. This procedure typically involves a combination parotid duct ligation or rerouting with either

TABLE 4

Advantages and Disadvantages of Surgical Therapies for Sialorrhea

Surgical therapy	Advantages	Disadvantages
Submandibular duct relocation	No external scar Low incidence of ranula with sublingual gland excision	Duct relocation is an uncommon procedure Potential for anterior dental caries Without sublingual gland excision, patient may develop ranula Potential for aspiration
Submandibular gland excision	Very good control of sialorrhea Commonly performed procedure	External scar Potential for dental caries
Parotid duct relocation	Redirects flow in the stimulated state	Risk of sialocele Potential for aspiration Relocation is uncommon procedure
Parotid duct ligation	Simple, fast procedure Decreases flow in the stimulated state	Risk of sialocele
Transtympanic neurectomy	Technically easy, fast procedure Does not require general anesthesia Useful in elderly patients	Predictable return of salivary function Requires multiple procedures

submandibular gland excision or duct rerouting. Sublingual gland excision is suggested if the submandibular ducts are rerouted to prevent formation of salivary retention cysts. <sup>22,23</sup> Preservation of salivation with reduction of drooling has been demonstrated following rerouting of the parotid and submandibular ducts to the posterior oropharynx, and rerouting procedures spare patients external scars and the risk of facial nerve injury. <sup>24-26</sup>

The most definitive surgical procedure, which includes bilateral parotid duct ligation and submandibular gland excision, is highly successful, with nearly total elimination of sialorrhea, a low incidence of facial weakness, and significant patient and caretaker satisfaction.<sup>27</sup> Although this is the most invasive of treatment options, the severity of sialorrhea may be sufficient to require such an aggressive therapy.

Figure 1 provided by Dr. Neil G. Hockstein.

The authors indicate that they do not have any con-

flicts of interest. Sources of funding: none reported.

# REFERENCES

- Stuchell RN, Mandel ID. Salivary gland dysfunction and swallowing disorders. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 1988;21:649-61.
- Sochaniwskyj AE. Drool quantification: noninvasive technique. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1982;63: 605-7.
- Thomas-Stonell N, Greenberg J. Three treatment approaches and clinical factors in the reduction of drooling. Dysphagia 1988;3:73-8.
- Crysdale WS. Drooling. Experience with team assessment and management. Clin Pediatr 1992; 31:77-80.
- Asher RS, Winquist H. Appliance therapy for chronic drooling in a patient with mental retardation. Spec Care Dentist 1994;14:30-2.
- Hoyer H, Limbrock GJ. Orofacial regulation therapy in children with Down syndrome, using the methods and appliances of Castillo-Morales. ASDC J Dent Child 1990;57:442-4.
- Fischer-Brandies H, Avalle C, Limbrock GJ. Therapy of orofacial dysfunctions in cerebral palsy according to Castillo-Morales: first results of a new treatment concept. Eur J Orthod 1987;9:139-43.
- Inga CJ, Reddy AK, Richardson SA, Sanders B. Appliance for chronic drooling in cerebral palsy patients. Pediatr Dent 2001;23:241-2.
- 9. Limbrock GJ, Fischer-Brandies H, Avalle C. Castillo-

#### Sialorrhea

- Morales' orofacial therapy: treatment of 67 children with Down syndrome. Dev Med Child Neurol 1991;33:296-303.
- Domaracki LS, Sisson LA. Decreasing drooling with oral motor stimulation in children with multiple disabilities. Am J Occup Ther 1990;44:680-4.
- 11. Lancioni GE, Brouwer JA, Coninx F. Automatic cueing to reduce drooling: a long-term follow-up with two mentally handicapped persons. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 1994;25:149-52.
- 12. Thorbecke PJ, Jackson HJ. Reducing chronic drooling in a retarded female using a multi-treatment package. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 1982;13:89-93.
- Wong V, Sun JG, Wong W. Traditional Chinese medicine (tongue acupuncture) in children with drooling problems. Pediatr Neurol 2001;25:47-54.
- Blasco PA, Stansbury JC. Glycopyrrolate treatment of chronic drooling. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1996;150:932-5.
- Mier RJ, Bachrach SJ, Lakin RC, Barker T, Childs J, Moran M. Treatment of sialorrhea with glycopyrrolate: a double-blind, dose-ranging study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2000;154:1214-8.
- Talmi YP, Finkelstein Y, Zohar Y. Reduction of salivary flow with transdermal scopolamine: a fouryear experience. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1990; 103:615-8.
- Lewis DW, Fontana C, Mehallick LK, Everett Y. Transdermal scopolamine for reduction of drooling in developmentally delayed children. Dev Med Child Neurol 1994;36:484-6.
- Porta M, Gamba M, Bertacchi G, Vaj P. Treatment of sialorrhoea with ultrasound guided botulinum toxin type A injection in patients with neurological disorders. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70: 538-40.
- Heine RG, Catto-Smith AG, Reddihough DS. Effect of antireflux medication on salivary drooling in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 1996;38:1030-6.
- Borg M, Hirst F. The role of radiation therapy in the management of sialorrhea. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1998;41:1113-9.
- 21. Frederick FJ, Stewart IF. Effectiveness of transtym-

- panic neurectomy in management of sialorrhea occurring in mentally retarded patients. J Otolaryngol 1982;11:289-92.
- Crysdale WS, Raveh E, McCann C, Roske L, Kotler A. Management of drooling in individuals with neurodisability: a surgical experience. Dev Med Child Neurol 2001;43:379-83.
- Ethunandan M, Macpherson DW. Persistent drooling: treatment by bilateral submandibular duct transposition and simultaneous sublingual gland excision. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1998;80:279-82.
- Hotaling AJ, Madgy DN, Kuhns LR, Filipek L, Belenky WM. Postoperative technetium scanning in patients with submandibular duct diversion. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1992;118:1331-3.
- Mankarious LA, Bottrill IA, Huchzermyer PM, Bailey CM. Long-term follow-up of submandibular duct rerouting for the treatment of sialorrhea in the pediatric population. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1999;120:303-7.
- Panarese A, Ghosh S, Hodgson D, McEwan J, Bull PD.
   Outcomes of submandibular duct re-implantation for sialorrhoea. Clin Otolaryngol 2001;26: 143-6.
- Shott SR, Myer CM 3d, Cotton RT. Surgical management of sialorrhea. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1989;101:47-50.