Nephrotic Syndrome in Adults: Diagnosis and Management

CHARLES KODNER, MD, University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, Kentucky

Nephrotic syndrome may be caused by primary (idiopathic) renal disease or by a variety of secondary causes. Patients present with marked edema, proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, and often hyperlipidemia. In adults, diabetes mellitus is the most common secondary cause, and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and membranous nephropathy are the most common primary causes. Venous thromboembolism is a possible complication; acute renal failure and serious bacterial infection are also possible, but much less common. There are no established guidelines on the diagnostic workup or management of nephrotic syndrome. Imaging studies are generally not needed, and blood tests should be used selectively to diagnose specific disorders rather than for a broad or unguided workup. Renal biopsy may be useful in some cases to confirm an underlying disease or to identify idiopathic disease that is more likely to respond to corticosteroids. Treatment of most patients should include fluid and sodium restriction, oral or intravenous diuretics, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. Some adults with nephrotic syndrome may benefit from corticosteroid treatment, although research data are limited. Intravenous albumin, prophylactic antibiotics, and prophylactic anticoagulation are not currently recommended. (Am Fam Physician. 2009;80(10):1129-1134, 1136. Copyright © 2009 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

▶ Patient information: A handout on nephrotic syndrome, written by the author of this article, is provided on page 1136.



This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for evidence-based continuing medical education (EB CME). n nephrotic syndrome, a variety of disorders cause proteinuria, often resulting in marked edema and hypoalbuminemia. Hyperlipidemia is a common associated finding. Family physicians may encounter persons with nephrotic syndrome from primary (idiopathic) renal disease or a number of secondary causes, and should initiate appropriate diagnostic workup and medical management pending specialist consultation.

Causes

Most cases of nephrotic syndrome appear to be caused by primary kidney disease. *Table 1* summarizes the recognized histologic patterns and features of primary nephrotic syndrome. Membranous nephropathy and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) each account for about one third of cases of primary nephrotic syndrome; however, FSGS is the most common cause of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in adults. Minimal change disease and (less commonly) immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy cause approximately 25 percent of cases of

idiopathic nephrotic syndrome.² Other conditions, such as membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, are less common. FSGS accounts for approximately 3.3 percent of new cases of end-stage renal disease.² A large number of secondary causes of nephrotic syndrome have been identified (*Table 2*),³ with diabetes mellitus being the most common.

Pathophysiology

The underlying pathophysiology of nephrotic syndrome is not completely clear.⁴ Although the more intuitive "underfill" mechanism of edema from reduced oncotic pressure caused by marked proteinuria may be the primary mechanism in children with acute nephrotic syndrome, edema in adults may be caused by a more complex mechanism. Massive proteinuria causes renal tubulointerstitial inflammation, with resulting increased sodium retention that overwhelms the physiologic mechanisms for removing edema.⁵ Patients may have an "overfilled" or expanded plasma volume in addition to expanded interstitial fluid volume. This may be clinically

Clinical recommendation	Evidence rating	Reference.
Random urine protein/creatinine ratio should be used to assess the degree of proteinuria in persons with nephrotic syndrome.	С	6
Renal biopsy may be helpful to guide diagnosis and treatment, but is not indicated in all persons with nephrotic syndrome.	С	13
Sodium and fluid restriction and high-dose diuretic treatment are indicated for most persons with nephrotic syndrome.	С	3, 14
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor treatment is indicated for most persons with nephrotic syndrome.	С	16
Corticosteroid treatment has no proven benefit, but is recommended by some physicians for persons with nephrotic syndrome who are not responsive to conservative treatment.	С	19, 20

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml.

important if over-rapid diuresis leads to acute renal failure from reduced glomerular blood flow, despite persistent edema.

Clinical Features

Progressive lower extremity edema, weight gain, and fatigue are typical presenting symptoms of nephrotic syndrome. In advanced disease, patients may develop periorbital or genital edema, ascites, or pleural or pericardial effusion. Persons who present with new edema or ascites, without typical dyspnea of congestive heart failure or stigmata of cirrhosis, should be assessed for nephrotic syndrome.

Nephrotic-range proteinuria is typically defined as greater than 3 to 3.5 g of protein in a 24-hour urine collection; however, not all persons with this range of proteinuria

have nephrotic syndrome. Although a urine dipstick proteinuria value of 3+ is a useful semiquantitative means of identifying nephrotic-range proteinuria, given the logistic difficulties of collecting a 24-hour urine sample, the random urine protein/ creatinine ratio is a more convenient quantitative measure. The numeric spot urine protein/creatinine ratio, in mg/mg, accurately estimates protein excretion in g per day per 1.73 m² of body surface area, so a ratio of 3 to 3.5 represents nephrotic-range proteinuria.6 Low serum albumin levels (less than 2.5 g per dL [25 g per L]) and severe hyperlipidemia are also typical features of nephrotic syndrome. In one study of persons with nephrotic syndrome, 53 percent had a total cholesterol level greater than

Histologic pattern	Key pathologic features	Key clinical features
Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis	Sclerosis and hyalinosis of segments of less than 50 percent of all glomeruli on electron microscopy	May be associated with hypertension, renal insufficiency, and hematuria
Membranous nephropathy	Thickening of the glomerular basement membrane on electron microscopy; immunoglobulin G and C3 deposits with immunofluorescent staining	Peak incidence at 30 to 50 years of age; may have microscopic hematuria; approximately 25 percent of patients have underlying systemic disease, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, hepatitis B, or malignancy, or drug- induced nephrotic syndrome
Minimal change disease	Normal-appearing glomeruli on renal biopsy microscopy; effacement of foot processes on electron microscopy	Relatively mild or benign cases of nephrotic syndrome; may occur following upper respiratory infection or immunization

300 mg per dL (7.77 mmol per L) and 25 percent had a total cholesterol level greater than 400 mg per dL (10.36 mmol per L). 7

Possible complications of nephrotic syndrome include venous thromboembolism caused by loss of clotting factors in the urine, infection caused by urinary loss of immunoglobulins, and acute renal failure. Thromboembolism has long been recognized as a complication of nephrotic syndrome.8 In a large retrospective review, the relative risk of deep venous thrombosis (DVT) in patients with nephrotic syndrome was 1.7 compared with those without nephrotic syndrome, with an annual incidence of DVT of 1.5 percent9; the risk seems highest in the first six months after diagnosis. 10 The relative risk of pulmonary embolism was 1.4 and was especially high in persons 18 to 39 years of age (relative risk = 6.8). Renal venous thrombosis is a possible complication of nephrotic syndrome, but was uncommon in this case series. Membranous nephropathy and serum albumin levels less than 2.0 to 2.5 g per dL (20 to 25 g per L) seem to confer an increased risk of DVT. Arterial thrombotic complications can occur, but are rare.9

Infection is also a possible complication of nephrotic syndrome; however, this risk appears primarily in children and in persons who have relapses of nephrotic syndrome or who require longer-term corticosteroid therapy.11 Invasive bacterial infections, especially cellulitis, peritonitis, and sepsis, are the most common infections attributable to nephrotic syndrome. The mechanisms of infection are unclear, but may relate to the degree of edema, loss of serum IgG with overall proteinuria, effects of corticosteroid therapy, reduced complement or T cell function, or impaired phagocytic function.3 The risk of serious bacterial infection attributable to nephrotic syndrome in adults in the United States is unclear, but seems low.

Acute renal failure is a rare, spontaneous complication of nephrotic syndrome. Although older persons, children, and those with more profound edema and proteinuria are at highest risk, there are many possible causes or contributing factors to acute renal failure in this setting. Excessive diuresis, therapeutic drug complications, sepsis, renal venous thrombosis, renal interstitial edema, and marked hypotension may cause or contribute to acute renal failure.¹²

Diagnostic Evaluation

Typical clinical and laboratory features of nephrotic syndrome are sufficient to establish the diagnosis of nephrotic syndrome. The diagnostic evaluation focuses on identification of an underlying cause and on the role of renal biopsy. However, there are no published practice guidelines available about the diagnostic evaluation of persons with nephrotic syndrome.³

Initial investigation should include history, physical examination, and a serum chemistry panel. Given the large number of potential causes of nephrotic syndrome and the relatively nonspecific aspect of therapy, the diagnostic evaluation should be guided by clinical suspicion for specific disorders, rather than a broad or unguided approach to ruling out multiple illnesses. *Table 3* lists selected diagnostic studies for some common secondary

Table 2. Common Secondary Causes of Nephrotic Syndrome

Cause	Key features
Diabetes mellitus	Glucosuria, hyperglycemia, polyuria
Systemic lupus erythematosus	Anemia, arthralgias, autoantibodies, photosensitivity pericardial or pleural effusion, rash
Hepatitis B or C	Elevated transaminases; high-risk sexual activity, history of transfusion, intravenous drug use, or other risk factors for disease transmission
Nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs	Causes minimal change disease
Amyloidosis	Cardiomyopathy, hepatomegaly, peripheral neuropathy
Multiple myeloma	Abnormal urine protein electrophoresis, back pain renal insufficiency
HIV	Pathologically similar to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; risk factors for HIV transmission, possible reduced CD4 cell count
Preeclampsia	Edema and proteinuria during pregnancy; elevated blood pressure

NOTE: Causes are in approximate order of most to least common.

HIV = human immunodeficiency virus.

Information from reference 3.

Table 3. Diagnostic Evaluation in Persons with Nephrotic Syndrome

Diagnostic studies	Disorder suggested
Baseline	
Patient history	Identify medication or toxin exposure; risk factors for HIV or viral hepatitis; and symptoms suggesting other causes of edema
	Obtain history of diabetes, systemic lupus erythematosus, or other systemic illness
Urine dipstick	Confirm proteinuria
Random urine protein/ creatinine ratio	Quantify degree of proteinuria (ratio greater than 3 to 3.5)
Serum creatinine	Rule out acute renal failure, assess glomerular filtration rate
Serum albumin	Assess degree of hypoalbuminemia
Lipid panel	Assess degree of hyperlipidemia
Additional studies sugg	gested by patient factors
HIV screening test	Identify HIV
Hepatitis serology panel	Identify hepatitis B or C
Serum or urine protein electrophoresis	Suggests amyloidosis or multiple myeloma
Rapid plasma reagin	Identify syphilis
Antinuclear antibodies or complement (C3 and C4) levels	Identify systemic lupus erythematosus; complement levels may also be reduced in membranoproliferative disease

causes of nephrotic syndrome, as well as baseline evaluations that should be obtained in all persons with nephrotic syndrome.

Imaging studies are generally not helpful in assessing persons with nephrotic syndrome. Renal ultrasonography may identify renal venous thrombosis if suggestive features, such as flank pain, hematuria, or acute renal failure, are present.

Renal biopsy is often recommended in persons with nephrotic syndrome to establish the pathologic subtype of the disease, to assess disease activity, or to confirm the diagnosis of diseases, such as amyloidosis or systemic lupus erythematosus. There are, however, no clear guidelines on when renal biopsy is indicated or whether it is needed in all persons with nephrotic syndrome. For example, in diabetic nephropathy, the leading cause of secondary nephrotic syndrome, renal biopsy may not be necessary if the patient has enlarged kidneys, a bland urinary sediment without cellular casts, or other evidence of microvascular disease, such as proliferative retinopathy or peripheral neuropathy. Although renal biopsy is often recommended to assess the likelihood that nephrotic syndrome will respond to corticosteroid treatment, there are no biopsy findings that accurately predict corticosteroid responsiveness. No recent studies have elucidated the true benefit of renal biopsy in guiding management; the best available evidence is from a prospective study in which the results of renal biopsy changed management in 24 of 28 persons with nephrotic syndrome, primarily through the addition of corticosteroid treatment, although the actual patient benefit is unknown.13 In most cases, family physicians should consult specialists in renal medicine about the need for renal biopsy in individual patients.

Management

There are no clinical guidelines and few high-quality studies on the management of nephrotic syndrome in adults. Recommendations are based primarily on early case series, other observational studies, and expert opinion.3

FLUID AND NUTRITION

Creating a negative sodium balance will help reduce edema, presumably as the underlying illness is treated or as renal inflammation slowly resolves. Patients should limit their sodium intake to 3 g per day, and may need to restrict fluid intake (to less than approximately 1.5 L per day).

DIURETICS

Diuretics are the mainstay of medical management; however, there is no evidence to guide drug selection or dosage. Based on expert opinion, diuresis should aim for a target weight loss of 1 to 2 lb (0.5 to 1 kg) per day³ to avoid acute renal failure or electrolyte disorders. Loop diuretics, such as furosemide (Lasix) or bumetanide, are most commonly used. Large doses (e.g., 80 to 120 mg of furosemide) are often required, 14 and these drugs typically must be given intravenously because of the poor absorption of oral drugs caused by intestinal edema.3 Low serum albumin levels also limit diuretic effectiveness and necessitate higher doses. Thiazide diuretics, potassium-sparing diuretics, or

metolazone (Zaroxolyn) may be useful as adjunctive or synergistic diuretics.¹⁴

ACE INHIBITORS

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors have been shown to reduce proteinuria and reduce the risk of progression to renal disease in persons with nephrotic syndrome. ^{15,16} One study found no improvement in response when corticosteroid treatment was added to treatment with ACE inhibitors. ¹⁷ The recommended dosage is unclear, and enalapril (Vasotec) dosages from 2.5 to 20 mg per day were used. Most persons with nephrotic syndrome should be started on ACE inhibitor treatment to reduce proteinuria, regardless of blood pressure.

ALBUMIN

Intravenous albumin has been proposed to aid diuresis, because edema may be caused by hypoalbuminemia and resulting oncotic pressures. However, there is no evidence to indicate benefit from treatment with albumin,¹⁸ and adverse effects, such as hypertension or pulmonary edema, as well as high cost, limit its use.

CORTICOSTEROIDS

Treatment with corticosteroids remains controversial in the management of nephrotic syndrome in adults. It has no proven benefit, but is recommended in some persons who do not respond to conservative treatment. 19,20 Treatment of children with nephrotic syndrome is different, and it is more clearly established that children respond well to corticosteroid treatment.21 Classically, minimal change disease responds better to corticosteroids than FSGS; however, this difference is found primarily in children with nephrotic syndrome. One older study found that corticosteroid treatment improved proteinuria and renal function in persons with minimal change disease, but not membranous nephropathy or proliferative glomerulonephritis.²² Another small older study found that persons with less severe glomerular changes responded well to corticosteroids.²³ One case series in black persons with FSGS found no benefit from corticosteroid treatment.19 Two Cochrane reviews

on the treatment of nephrotic syndrome in adults found no benefit for mortality or need for dialysis with corticosteroid therapy for membranous nephropathy or minimal change disease, but found a weak benefit for disease remission and proteinuria in persons with membranous nephropathy.^{20,24} However, the findings for minimal change disease were based on only one randomized trial, and the role of corticosteroid treatment remains unclear. Many experts recommend the use of corticosteroids, particularly for persons with minimal change disease¹; however, adverse effects from corticosteroids often lead to discontinuation.

Family physicians should discuss with patients and consulting nephrologists whether treatment with corticosteroids is advisable, weighing the uncertain benefits and possibility of adverse effects. Alkylating agents (e.g., cyclophosphamide [Cytoxan]) also have weak evidence for improving disease remission and reducing proteinuria, but may be considered for persons with severe or resistant disease who do not respond to corticosteroids.

LIPID-LOWERING TREATMENT

A Cochrane review is underway to investigate the benefits and harms of lipid-lowering agents in nephrotic syndrome.²⁵ Some evidence suggests an increased risk of atherogenesis or myocardial infarction in persons with nephrotic syndrome, possibly related to increased lipid levels.²⁵ However, the role of treatment for increased lipids is unknown and, at present, the decision to start lipid-lowering therapy in persons with nephrotic syndrome should be made on the same basis as in other patients.

ANTIBIOTICS

There are no data from prospective clinical trials about treatment and prevention of infection in adults with nephrotic syndrome. Given the uncertain risks of infection in adults with nephrotic syndrome in the United States, there are currently no indications for antibiotics or other interventions to prevent infection in this population. Persons who are appropriate candidates should receive pneumococcal vaccination.

ANTICOAGULATION THERAPY

There are currently no recommendations for prophylactic anticoagulation to prevent thromboembolic events in persons with nephrotic syndrome who have not had previous thrombotic events, and clinical practice varies. A Cochrane review is in process. Physicians should remain alert for signs or symptoms suggesting thromboembolism and, if it is diagnosed, these events should be treated as in other patients. Persons who are otherwise at high risk of thromboembolism (e.g., based on previous events, known coagulopathy) should be considered for prophylactic anticoagulation while they have active nephrotic syndrome.

The Author

CHARLES KODNER, MD, is an associate professor in the Department of Family and Geriatric Medicine at the University of Louisville (Ky.) School of Medicine.

Address correspondence to Charles Kodner, MD, University of Louisville School of Medicine, Med Center One Building, Louisville, KY 40292. Reprints are not available from the author.

Author disclosure: Nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

- 1. Karnath BM, Keddis MT. The nephrotic syndrome. *Hosp Physician*. 2007;43(10):25-30.
- Kitiyakara C, Kopp JB, Eggers P. Trends in the epidemiology of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Semin Nephrol. 2003;23(2):172-182.
- Hull RP, Goldsmith DJ. Nephrotic syndrome in adults. BMJ. 2008;336(7654):1185-1189.
- Cho S, Atwood JE. Peripheral edema. Am J Med. 2002; 113(7):580-586.
- Rodríguez-Iturbe B, Herrera-Acosta J, Johnson RJ. Interstitial inflammation, sodium retention, and the pathogenesis of nephrotic edema: a unifying hypothesis. *Kidney Int.* 2002;62(4):1379-1384.
- Leung YY, Szeto CC, Tam LS, et al. Urine protein-tocreatinine ratio in an untimed urine collection is a reliable measure of proteinuria in lupus nephritis. *Rheuma*tology (Oxford). 2007;46(4):649-652.
- Radhakrishnan J, Appel AS, Valeri A, Appel GB. The nephrotic syndrome, lipids, and risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 1993;22(1):135-142.
- Kendall AG, Lohmann RC, Dossetor JB. Nephrotic syndrome. A hypercoagulable state. Arch Intern Med. 1971; 127(6):1021-1027.
- Kayali F, Najjar R, Aswad F, Matta F, Stein PD. Venous thromboembolism in patients hospitalized with nephrotic syndrome. Am J Med. 2008;121(3):226-230.

- Mahmoodi BK, ten Kate MK, Waandes F, et al. High absolute risks and predictors of venous and arterial thromboembolic events in patients with nephrotic syndrome: results from a large retrospective cohort study. *Circulation*. 2008;117(2):224-230.
- Wu HM, Tang JL, Sha ZH, Cao L, Li YP. Interventions for preventing infection in nephrotic syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;(2):CD003964.
- 12. Koomans HA. Pathophysiology of acute renal failure in idiopatic nephrotic syndrome. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2001;16(2):221-224.
- Richards NT, Darby S, Howie AJ, Adu D, Michael J. Knowledge of renal histology alters patient management in over 40% of cases. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 1994; 9(9):1255-1259.
- 14. Brater DC. Diuretic therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(6): 387-395.
- Ruggenenti P, Mosconi L, Vendramin G, et al. ACE inhibition improves glomerular size selectivity in patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy and persistent nephrotic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis. 2000; 35(3):381-391.
- Korbet SM. Angiotensin antagonists and steroids in the treatment of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Semin Nephrol. 2003;23(2):219-228.
- Stiles KP, Abbott KC, Welch PG, Yuan CM. Effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and steroid therapy on proteinuria in FSGS: a retrospective study in a single clinic. Clin Nephrol. 2001;56(2):89-95.
- Dorhout Mees EJ. Does it make sense to administer albumin to the patient with nephrotic oedema? Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1996;11(7):1224-1226.
- Crook ED, Habeeb D, Gowdy O, Nimmagadda S, Salem M. Effects of steroids in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in a predominantly African-American population. *Am J Med Sci.* 2005;330(1):19-24.
- Schieppati A, Perna A, Zamora J, Giuliano GA, Braun N, Remuzzi G. Immunosuppressive treatment for idiopathic membranous nephropathy in adults with nephrotic syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004;(4): CD004293
- Hodson EM, Willis NS, Craig JC. Corticosteroid therapy for nephrotic syndrome in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(3):CD001533.
- Black DA, Rose G, Brewer DB. Controlled trial of prednisone in adult patients with the nephrotic syndrome. Br Med J. 1970;3(5720):421-426.
- Rashid H, Ezedum S, Morley AR, Kerr DN. Nephrotic syndrome with slight proliferative changes in the glomeruli: response to prednisone. *Br Med J.* 1980;281(6236): 347-350
- Palmer SC, Nand K, Strippoli GF. Interventions for minimal change disease in adults with nephrotic syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008;(1):CD001537.
- Fan J, Li Z, Wu T, Chen H. Lipid-lowering agents for nephrotic syndrome (intervention protocol). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(2):CD005425.
- Kulshrestha S, Grieff M, Navaneethan SD. Interventions for preventing thrombosis in adults and children with nephrotic syndrome (intervention protocol). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(2):CD006024.