

# Letters to the Editor

Send letters to Kenneth W. Lin, MD, MPH, Associate Deputy Editor for *AFP* Online, e-mail: [afplet@aafp.org](mailto:afplet@aafp.org), or 11400 Tomahawk Creek Pkwy., Leawood, KS 66211-2680.

Please include your complete address, e-mail address, and telephone number. Letters should be fewer than 400 words and limited to six references, one table or figure, and three authors.

Letters submitted for publication in *AFP* must not be submitted to any other publication. Possible conflicts of interest must be disclosed at time of submission. Submission of a letter will be construed as granting the American Academy of Family Physicians permission to publish the letter in any of its publications in any form. The editors may edit letters to meet style and space requirements.

## Case Report: Risk of Uterine Perforation from IUDs Is Greatest During Postpartum Period

TO THE EDITOR: Uterine perforation is a rare but potentially serious complication of the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (IUD; Mirena); the incidence is estimated at 0 to 2.6 per 1,000 insertions.<sup>1</sup> Perforation typically occurs during IUD insertion, and symptoms can include abdominal pain and uterine bleeding. However, perforation can go unrecognized for months or years if asymptomatic.<sup>1-3</sup>

When recognized, an IUD that has perforated the uterus should be removed promptly because bowel perforation, obstruction, or adhesions can occur.<sup>1,2</sup> Also, a malpositioned IUD may not prevent an unintended pregnancy.<sup>1-5</sup> Although the levonorgestrel-releasing IUD can be inserted in a nonpregnant woman at any time, including immediately postpartum, the risk of perforation is greatest during the 12 weeks after giving birth and while the patient is lactating.<sup>1,4-6</sup>

We present the following case:

A 31-year-old woman (two pregnancies, one full-term delivery, one miscarriage, one

living child) presented to a family medicine clinic 12 months after insertion of a levonorgestrel-releasing IUD with frequent, irregular, and increasingly heavy menstrual bleeding. She requested that the IUD be removed. It had been placed six weeks postpartum, and the patient was breastfeeding. Attempts to remove the IUD in the clinic were unsuccessful, and the use of abdominal and pelvic ultrasonography failed to locate it. Abdominal radiography revealed that the IUD was located in the right lateral pelvis (*Figure 1*). Although it was loosely tangled in the right fimbria, it was successfully removed using laparoscopy. No uterine structural abnormalities were found.

Clinicians and patients should carefully weigh the benefits and risks of IUD insertion during the postpartum period. A follow-up examination four to 12 weeks after insertion is recommended to ensure correct positioning; patients may opt to use another effective family planning method until this examination has occurred.

SARAH L. ANDERSON, PharmD, BCPS  
LAURA M. BORGELT, PharmD, FCCP, BCPS  
Aurora, Colo.  
E-mail: [Sarah.anderson@ucdenver.edu](mailto:Sarah.anderson@ucdenver.edu)

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

## REFERENCES

1. Van Houdenhoven K, van Kaam KJ, van Grootenhuis AC, Salemans TH, Dunselman GA. Uterine perforation in women using a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system. *Contraception*. 2006;73(3):257-260.
2. Gill RS, Mok D, Hudson M, Shi X, Birch DW, Karmali S. Laparoscopic removal of an intra-abdominal intrauterine device: case and systematic review. *Contraception*. 2012;85(1):15-18.
3. Andersson K, Ryde-Bomqvist E, Lindell K, Odlind V, Milsom I. Perforations with intrauterine devices. Report from a Swedish survey. *Contraception*. 1998;57(4):251-255.
4. Mirena (levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system) [package insert]. Wayne, N.J.: Bayer HealthCare; 2008. [http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2008/021225s019lbl.pdf](http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2008/021225s019lbl.pdf). Accessed August 26, 2013.
5. Turok DK, Gurtcheff SE, Gibson K, Handley E, Simonsen S, Murphy PA. Operative management of intrauterine device complications: a case series report. *Contraception*. 2010;82(4):354-357.



**Figure 1.** Radiograph showing intrauterine device in the right lateral pelvis.

6. Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. U.S. Selected Practice Recommendations for Contraceptive Use, 2013: adapted from the World Health Organization selected practice recommendations for contraceptive use, 2nd edition. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2013;62(RR-05):1-60.

**Corrections**

**Incorrect statement regarding clinical suspicion of a hernia.** The article “Inguinal Hernias: Diagnosis and Management” (June 15, 2013, p. 844) contained an error in the last sentence of the first paragraph in the second column of page 845. The sentence should have read: “If the patient indicates that this bulge disappears while he or she is in the *supine* position, clinical suspicion of a hernia should be increased.” The online version of the article has been corrected.

**Error in testing interval for tuberculosis and dosing regimen for rabies, and missing information regarding measurement of neutralizing rabies antibodies.** The article “Postexposure Prophylaxis for Common Infectious Diseases” (July 1, 2013, p. 25) contained several errors. In the first statement in the fourth column (Regimen) of the last row of Table 3 (p. 29), the statement should have indicated that a tuberculin skin test or interferon-gamma release assay should be performed at baseline and at eight to 12 weeks after exposure to tuberculosis, rather than one month after exposure. In Table 2 (p. 27), the recommended postexposure prophylaxis regimen for rabies in previously unvaccinated persons provided an incorrect dosing schedule. The dosing regimen should have been as follows: “Rabies vaccine should be given as early as possible on days 0, 3, 7, and 14 post-exposure, in addition to human rabies immune globulin (20 units per kg in a single dose) on day 0. Rabies immune globulin should be infiltrated around the wounds first if anatomically feasible, with the rest administered IM into the gluteal region. If the person is immunocompromised, a fifth dose of rabies vaccine should be given on day 28.” Additionally, there should have been a footnote about measurement of neutralizing rabies antibodies pre- and postexposure in previously vaccinated persons. The footnote should have read: “The level of neutralizing rabies antibodies pre- and postexposure should not be routinely measured except in immunocompromised persons, in persons at continuous high risk, and in persons who have received non-cell-based rabies vaccine.” The online version of this article has been corrected. ■

*Editor* Jay Siwek, MD, Georgetown University

*Deputy Editor for Evidence-Based Medicine*

Mark H. Ebell, MD, MS, University of Georgia

*Associate Deputy Editor*

Caroline Wellbery, MD, Georgetown University

*Associate Deputy Editor for AFP Online*

Kenny Lin, MD, MPH, Georgetown University

*Associate Medical Editors* Barbara S. Apgar, MD, MS,

University of Michigan; Sumi M. Sexton, MD,

Georgetown University; Anne D. Walling, MD,

University of Kansas School of Medicine-Wichita;

Barry D. Weiss, MD, University of Arizona

*Assistant Medical Editor*

John E. Delzell, Jr., MD, MSPH, The University of Kansas

*Contributing Editors*

Corey D. Fogelman, MD, Lancaster General Hospital

Family Medicine Residency; Nathan Hitzeman,

MD, Sutter Health Family Medicine Residency; Aaron

Saguil, MD, MPH, Uniformed Services University of the

Health Sciences; Dean A. Seehusen, MD, MPH, Fort

Belvoir Community Hospital; Allen F. Shaughnessy,

PharmD, Tufts University

*Executive Editor* Joyce A. Merriman

*Senior Editor and AFP Online Administrator* Matthew Neff

*Senior Editors* Monica Preboth, Genevieve W. Ressel

*Senior Associate Editor, AFP Online* Lisa Hauk

*Senior Associate Editors* Carrie Armstrong, Mara Lambert,

Amber Randel

*Editorial Assistants* Marselle Bredemeyer,  
Holly Messerschmidt, Jennifer Wilkes

*Art Coordinator* David Klemm

---

*Director of Journal Media* Stephanie Hanaway

*Administrative Coordinator* Marilyn Harvey

*Director of Advertising Sales* John Molluso

*National Account Manager* Mickey Cotter

*Advertising Sales Office Manager* Karen O’Leary

*Circulation Director* Cathy Donahue

*Subscription Strategist* Alan Fagen

*Senior Circulation Coordinator* Susi Cordill

*Production Director* Bret A. Taylor

*Production Graphics Manager* Stacey Herrmann

*Graphic Associates* Bryan Colley, Debra Rodriguez

*Advertising Coordinator* Linda A. Porter

*Intellectual Property Coordinator* Melissa Lavenbarg

---

*Executive Vice President* Douglas E. Henley, MD

*Vice President of Journal Media* Craig Doane  
*and Strategic Partnerships*

---

Editorial/Production Offices

11400 Tomahawk Creek Pkwy.

Leawood, KS 66211-2680

913-906-6205

Advertising Sales Office

500 Route 17 South

Hasbrouck Heights, NJ 07604-3121

201-288-4440

