# Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy: Recognition and Management

Shawn F. Kane, MD; Katarina V. Abadie, MD; and Adam Willson, MD University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Degenerative cervical myelopathy encompasses a collection of pathologic conditions that result in progressive spinal cord dysfunction secondary to cord compression. Patients are typically male (3:1 male-to-female ratio), and the average age of presentation is 64 years. The exact incidence is unclear because of differences in terminology and because radiographic findings can be present in asymptomatic individuals. Common examination findings include neck pain or stiffness, a wide-based ataxic gait, ascending paresthesia in the upper or lower extremities, lower extremity weakness, decreased hand dexterity, hyperreflexia, clonus, Babinski sign, and bowel or bladder dysfunction in severe disease. Definitive diagnosis requires correlation of physical examination findings with imaging findings. Magnetic resonance imaging of the cervical spine with and without contrast media is the preferred imaging modality. Cervical spine computed tomography, computed tomography myelography, and plain radiography are helpful in certain situations. Treatment depends on the presence and severity of symptoms. Surgery is recommended for patients with moderate to severe symptoms or rapidly progressive disease. Conservative treatments of conservative treatments is scarce and of low quality, and outcomes can vary with individual patients. Primary care physicians play a vital role in recognizing the typical presentation of degenerative cervical myelopathy, coordinating treatment as indicated, and managing comorbidities. (*Am Fam Physician*. 2020;102(12):740-750. Copyright © 2020 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

**Degenerative deterioration** of the vertebrae, intervertebral disks, the facet, and other joints of the spine can result in cervical spondylotic myelopathy.<sup>1,2</sup> Hypertrophy and ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament and the ligamentum flavum can lead to spinal cord compression, injury, and subsequent myelopathy.<sup>3</sup>

This article focuses on the progressive and degenerative musculoskeletal changes that lead to pathologic changes in the spinal cord. Many other conditions can lead to myelopathy (*Table 1*<sup>4</sup>) and should be considered in appropriate clinical scenarios.

A combination of static and dynamic factors plays a role in the development of symptomatic degenerative cervical myelopathy.<sup>5-7</sup> Patients with the condition typically present in their late 50s to early 60s with signs and symptoms ranging from vague cervical spine pain to frank myelopathy from significant spinal cord compression. Patients with degenerative cervical myelopathy usually deteriorate over

**CME** This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for CME. See CME Quiz on page 719.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

**Patient information:** A handout on this topic is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/2020/1215/p740-s1.html.

time; however, the rate of deterioration is unpredictable and highly variable.<sup>8,9</sup>

Patients with degenerative cervical myelopathy often first present to their primary care physicians with a wide range of symptoms. Although conservative treatment is an acceptable initial approach for patients with mild to moderate symptoms, 20% to 60% of these patients deteriorate over three to six years and may eventually require surgical intervention.<sup>7,10,11</sup> Studies have shown that a delay in diagnosis and referral by primary care physicians can lead to poorer outcomes.<sup>12</sup> Therefore, it is important to promptly recognize, evaluate, and appropriately refer patients with signs and symptoms of degenerative cervical myelopathy. Primary care physicians play a vital role not only in diagnosis but in treatment coordination and comorbidity management.

#### **Incidence and Prevalence**

Degenerative cervical myelopathy is the most common degenerative, nontraumatic, and progressive form of spinal cord compression worldwide.<sup>3,10,13,14</sup> It is also recognized as the leading cause of spinal cord impairment and indication for cervical spine surgery worldwide.<sup>15,16</sup> Because of differences in terminology, it is difficult to determine the exact incidence and prevalence of degenerative cervical

myelopathy. In North America, the published annual incidence is 41 per 1 million and prevalence is 605 per 1 million.<sup>3,14</sup> In the United States alone, 15,000 to 20,000 people are hospitalized every year for treatment of degenerative cervical myelopathy at a cost of several hundred million dollars.<sup>17</sup> The average age at presentation is 64 years, it is more common in men (3:1 male-to-female ratio), and C5-C6 is the most common level of cord compression followed by C6-C7 and C4-C5.<sup>1,18,19</sup>

The high prevalence of asymptomatic individuals with radiographic evidence of cervical degeneration presents a challenge in determining the burden of this condition and supports the importance of a thorough clinical history and physical examination to avoid overdiagnosis. Multiple studies have shown that in patients older than 40 years, 50% to 60% have evidence of disk degeneration, 20% have foraminal stenosis, and upwards of 10% have clinically significant root or cord compression.<sup>1,8,9,19</sup> These numbers increase with age, and 95% of men and 70% of women older than 70 years have evidence of cervical spondylosis.<sup>15,18</sup>

#### Anatomy

There are seven cervical vertebrae and eight cervical spinal nerves. The spinal nerves are composed of motor fibers from the ventral horn and sensory fibers from the dorsal horn. The ventral and dorsal horns make up the central gray matter of the spinal cord. The ascending and descending white matter tracts surround the central gray matter. There are multiple white matter tracts in the spinal cord. This article focuses on three major tracts (Table 2).<sup>20</sup> The dorsal column and the spinothalamic tract are the ascending tracts and transmit sensory (ipsilateral pressure and vibration, and contralateral pain and temperature sensation) information to the brain. The lateral corticospinal tract is the largest, most significant descending tract and transmits information from the motor cortex to the periphery.<sup>21</sup>

The nerve fibers corresponding to the lower extremities are the most peripherally located, and the upper extremity fibers are more central. Most of the pathologic processes that result in degenerative cervical myelopathy compress the cord, which is why symptoms predominantly occur in the lower extremities.<sup>20,22</sup> Knowledge of the location of peripheral dermatomes in terms of sensory, motor, and reflex functions is also important

when diagnosing the level of spinal cord involvement. *Figures 1 and 2* illustrate the anatomy of the spinal cord.

#### Etiology

Mechanical compression of the spinal cord is the underlying cause of degenerative cervical myelopathy. However, the exact pathobiological mechanism that leads to spinal cord dysfunction is not clearly understood. A combination of

#### TABLE 1

### Potential Noncompressive Etiologies for Patients with Cervical Myelopathic Signs and Symptoms

Liver disease
Multiple sclerosis
Paraneoplastic syndrome
Parkinson disease
Syphilis
Syringomyelia
Viral encephalitis

Information from reference 4.

#### TABLE 2

### Spinal Cord Tracts and Localization Based on Physical Examination Findings

<b>Spinal cord tracts</b> Dorsal column	<b>Location</b> Located between the dorsal horns; carries ipsilateral pressure and vibration sensation
Lateral corticospinal tract	Lateral aspect of the spinal cord; controls ipsilateral motor function
Spinothalamic tract	Located in the anterior cord; carries contra- lateral pain and temperature sensations
Spinal cord pathology* Dorsal column syndrome	Physical examination findings Vibratory and proprioceptive loss below a dermatomal level with preservation of other sensory modalities
Hemicord (Brown- Séquard) syndrome	Ipsilateral weakness, vibratory and pro- prioceptive loss, contralateral pain, and temperature loss
Posterior lateral syndrome	Decreased vibratory and proprioceptive

\*—Three well-described pathologic syndromes illustrate motor and sensory deficits corresponding to each of the three tracts.

Information from reference 20.



There are two main components of cord compression: static and dynamic *(Table 3)*.<sup>7,10,20,22</sup>

Static factors include acquired or congenital conditions that narrow the spinal canal, increasing the risk of cord compression. Degeneration and deterioration of the intervertebral disk can lead to collapse and subsequent posterior bulging. Vertebral body osteophytes, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament, and hypertrophy and ossification of the ligamentum flavum can also lead to cord compression and compromise<sup>1,22,25</sup> (*Figure 3*).

Dynamic factors include changes in the biomechanics of the cervical spine causing irritation, compression, tethering, and shearing of the spinal cord, which leads to degenerative cervical myelopathy. Flexion and extension are the primary motions that exacerbate underlying static pathology (*Figure*  $4^{26}$ ). During flexion and extension, the cord is compressed by the various pathologic changes.<sup>1,6,22</sup>

Degenerative cervical myelopathy typically involves multiple levels of the spinal cord as a result of segments adjacent to the pathology becoming hyper- or hypomobile, leading to more cord damage.<sup>6</sup>

#### **Diagnosis** PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

The signs and symptoms of cervical myelopathy are variable and can mimic other conditions, especially in early disease, making the diagnosis challenging. Common symptoms include neck pain or stiffness, shoulder pain with potential radiation to



Cross section of the spinal column showing the anatomic layout of the spinal cord, nerve roots, and surrounding structure.

Illustration by Catherine Delphia



### Cross section of the spinal cord showing the gray and white matter along the location of the important spinal tracts.

Illustration by Catherine Delphia

#### TABLE 3

## Static and Dynamic Risk Factors for Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy

#### Static

Congenital stenosis Degenerative disk disease Ossification of the ligamentum flavum Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament Spondylosis Vertebral body osteophytes **Dynamic** Degenerative spondylolisthesis Physiologic narrowing of the canal Strain and stretch placed on the spinal cord during movements Information from references 7, 10, 20, and 22.

the upper extremities, feeling unsteady, difficulty with fine motor tasks, decreased hand dexterity (e.g., change in handwriting, clumsiness), ascending paresthesia in the upper or lower extremities, lower extremity weakness, and bowel or bladder dysfunction. The symptoms of degenerative cervical myelopathy are persistent and not fluctuating or transient.<sup>2</sup>

Upper motor neuron signs/symptoms (*Table 4*<sup>4,9,13,18,27,28</sup>) are the hallmark of cervical myelopathy, whereas lower motor neuron symptoms are manifestations of peripheral nerve pathology as seen in radiculopathies. Patients most often experience lower motor neuron symptoms at the level of the pathology and upper motor neuron symptoms below this level.<sup>21,29</sup>

The most common physical examination findings include decreased and possibly painful range of motion in the cervical spine, Lhermitte sign, hyperreflexia, clonus, upper motor neuron reflexes, a wide-based ataxic gait, spasticity, atrophy of intrinsic hand muscles, and upper extremity segmental weakness.<sup>20</sup> These physical examination findings localize the pathology to the spinothalamic and corticospinal tracts, which are affected first because of their lateral location in the spinal cord.<sup>20,21</sup>

The physical examination findings associated with degenerative cervical myelopathy tend to be more specific than sensitive (*Table 5*).<sup>26,30-33</sup> The presence of sustained clonus or the Babinski sign was found to have 13% sensitivity and 100% specificity for upper motor neuron–associated myelopathy.<sup>30</sup> Presence of the Hoffmann sign (sensitivity = 59% to 67%; specificity = 81%) and inverted brachioradialis reflex, also called inverted supinator sign, (sensitivity = 51%; specificity



= 81%) have demonstrated higher sensitivity while maintaining good specificity for the condition.<sup>30</sup> Evaluation for the Hoffmann sign is shown in *Figure 5*, and a video is available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=blj6Y0rrmtw. The inverted brachioradialis reflex test is shown in *Figure 6*, and a video is available at https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=S8q4WuQABjc. The loss of the C5 (biceps) and C6 (brachioradialis) reflexes in the presence of a brisk C7 (triceps) reflex is almost a pathognomonic physical examination finding for spinal cord compression at C5-C6.<sup>26</sup>

Central nervous system conditions, such as degenerative cervical myelopathy, and a concomitant peripheral lesion, such as radiculopathy, both can present as sensory and motor abnormalities. Deep tendon hyperreflexia is a key finding with myelopathies because radiculopathies cause hyporeflexia of the deep tendons.<sup>13</sup> Physical examination

findings help narrow the broad differential diagnosis, but a definitive diagnosis requires correlation of the physical findings with imaging findings.



Dynamic mechanical factors in cervical spondylotic myelopathy. (A) During flexion, the spinal cord is stretched over ventral osteophytic ridges. (B) During extension, the ligamentum flavum may buckle into the spinal cord, reducing space for the cord.

Illustration by Myriam Kirkman-Oh

Adapted with permission from Young WF. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy: a common cause of spinal cord dysfunction in older persons [published correction appears in Am Fam Physician 2001;63(10):1916]. Am Fam Physician. 2000;62(5):1064-1070.

#### IMAGING

According to American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with and without contrast media is the imaging modality of choice for patients with suspected degenerative cervical myelopathy<sup>34</sup> (*Figures 7 and 8*). However, MRI can be costly, unavailable, or contraindicated.

Despite not showing soft tissue details, plain radiography can be helpful in assessing spinal canal narrowing, cervical lordosis or kyphosis, and cervical stability<sup>35</sup> (*Figure 9*). The best evidence for the use of computed tomography is in patients with chronic, progressive cervical pain and plain radiography showing ossification of

#### TABLE 4

## Upper Motor Neuron Signs and Symptoms of Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy

#### Signs

Atrophy of intrinsic hand muscles

Babinski sign

Clonus: Achilles tendon is more commonly affected than the patella

Gait disturbance: wide-based ataxic gait

Hyperreflexia of the deep tendon reflexes

Hyporeflexia of superficial reflexes (abdominal and cremasteric)

Lhermitte sign (27%)

Pathologic (upper motor neuron) reflexes: Hoffmann sign inverted brachioradialis reflex, Romberg sign, and Brissaud reflex

Pyramidal weakness: upper extremity extensors > upper and lower extremity flexors > lower extremity extensors

Spasticity

Synkinesis: involuntary movement in a limb associated with voluntary movement of other limbs

#### Symptoms

Ascending paresthesia in the upper and lower extremities Autonomic: erectile dysfunction, difficulty with elimination (44%), or bowel or bladder incontinence

Decreased hand dexterity, changes in handwriting Falls

Lower extremity stiffness, weakness, and sensory loss

Neck pain (50%) and stiffness

Radicular pain (38%)

Scapular pain

Information from references 4, 9, 13, 18, 27, and 28.

**Note:** Three well-described pathologic syndromes illustrate motor and sensory deficits corresponding to each of the three tracts.

#### TABLE 5

#### Physical Examination Findings in the Diagnosis of Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy

Sign	Positive finding	Sensitivity	Specificity
Sustained clonus	Rhythmic flexion and extension of the ankle, at least three beats, after a sudden and sustained dorsiflexion at the ankle	13%	100%
Babinski sign	Extension of the great toe with abduction of the remaining toes when a noxious stimulus is applied from the base of the lateral aspect of the calca- neus upward to the base of the toes	13%	100%
Hoffmann sign	Opposition of the thumb and slight flexion of the fingers after flicking the middle finger (Figure 5, https://www. youtube.com/watch?v=blj6Y0rrmtw)	59% to 67%	81%
Inverted brachioradialis reflex, also called inverted supinator sign	Tapping of the styloid process of the radius results in absence of brachi- oradialis muscle contraction and flexion of the wrist and digits (Figure 6, https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=S8q4WuQABjc)	51%	81%
Brissaud reflex	Stroking the sole of the foot results in a vigorous contraction of the ipsilat- eral tensor fasciae lata	Not reported	
Lhermitte sign	Flexion of the neck results in a shoot- ing, electrical sensation down the spine	Not reported	

Information from references 26 and 30-33.

#### **FIGURE 5**



Evaluation for the Hoffmann sign. (A) Pressure is placed on the middle finger, then (B) the finger is flicked. (C) The test is positive if there is opposition of the thumb and slight flexion of the fingers after flicking the middle finger. A video of this test is available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=blj6Y0rrmtw.

the posterior longitudinal ligament. Computed tomography myelography can reproduce measurements of cervical intracanal dimensions, making it a valuable alternative if MRI is contraindicated. However, because of limitations in visualizing soft tissue changes prevalent in disk pathology and other pertinent findings and the need to inject contrast media into the spinal column, it is not the preferred imaging modality for degenerative myelopathy.<sup>10,24</sup>

#### FUNCTIONAL SCALES

The modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association scoring system (mJOA; *Table 6*) and the Nurick grades (*Table 7*) are commonly used to classify dysfunction as mild, moderate, or severe.<sup>9,23</sup> Use of these validated tools with good inter- and intrarater reliability can help guide treatment.<sup>9,23</sup>

#### Treatment

The treatment of severe, progressive degenerative cervical myelopathy is primarily surgical, and patients should be referred to a surgeon when the diagnosis is initially suspected.<sup>35</sup> However, the treatment approach for asymptomatic, mild to moderate

#### DEGENERATIVE CERVICAL MYELOPATHY



Evaluation for the inverted brachioradialis reflex, also called the inverted supinator sign. (A) A reflex hammer is tapped over the styloid process of the radius. (B) The test is positive if there is an absence of brachioradialis muscle contraction and flexion of the wrist and fingers, as in making a fist. A video of this test is available at https://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=S8q4WuQABjc.



Sagittal-view magnetic resonance imaging scans of the cervical spine in a patient with significant neck pain, decreased range of motion, and myelopathic signs and symptoms. (A) The T1-weighted scan shows evidence of degenerative intervertebral disk disease that is compressing the cord (arrows). (B) The T2-weighted scan shows significant compression of the spinal cord as evidenced by lack of cerebrospinal fluid around the anterior or posterior aspect of the cord (arrows). The thick arrows show the areas with the most significant compromise. The cerebral spinal fluid is bright white and marked by a circle.

#### FIGURE 8



T2-weighted axial view magnetic resonance imaging scans. (A) Normal cervical spine with no pathology and a normal amount of cerebrospinal fluid surrounding the spinal cord. (B) Pathology with minimal to no fluid surrounding the cord. Pathologic compression (dashed arrow) in the canal decreases the amount of fluid around the cord. In both images, the vertebral body is marked by the *circle*, the spinal cord is marked by the *thick arrow*, and the cerebrospinal fluid (which appears bright white) is marked by the *thin arrow*.

FIGURE 9



Plain radiographs of the cervical spine in a patient with significant neck pain, decreased range of motion, and myelopathic signs and symptoms. (A) Lateral view shows neural foraminal stenosis (short arrows); anterior spurring, near bony autofusion, and disk space narrowing, which are consistent with degenerative arthritis (thick arrows); loss of vertebral body height; and C3-C4 anterolisthesis (long arrow). (B) Extension and (C) flexion views show significant loss of range of motion with slight worsening of the C3-C4 anterolisthesis (arrow), signifying some instability.

disease is not as evident because of the unclear natural history of the disease and conflicting treatment outcomes.<sup>36</sup> Although some low-quality studies demonstrated better short-term outcomes for pain relief, weakness, and sensory loss with surgery vs. physical therapy and immobilization, there were no significant differences between the groups at one and three years.<sup>5,36,37</sup> Therefore, it is appropriate to initially consider nonsurgical treatments in patients with mild to moderate disease who are neurologically stable.<sup>23,25,28,37</sup>

#### **CONSERVATIVE TREATMENT**

Conservative treatments include physical therapy, immobilization with hard or soft cervical collars, cervical traction,

#### TABLE 6

#### Modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association Scoring System for Determining the Severity of Cervical Myelopathy

Level of dysfunction	Points
Motor dysfunction	
Upper extremities	
Unable to move hands	0
Unable to eat with a spoon but able to move hands	1
Unable to button shirt but able to eat with a spoon	2
Able to button shirt with great difficulty	3
Able to button shirt with slight difficulty	4
Lower extremities	
Complete loss of motor and sensory function	0
Sensory preservation without ability to move legs	1
Able to move legs but unable to walk	2
Able to walk on a flat floor with a walking aid (cane or crutch)	3
Able to walk up and/or down stairs with aid of a handrail	4
Moderate to significant lack of stability but able to walk up and/or down stairs without a handrail	5
Mild lack of stability but able to walk unaided with smooth reciprocation	6
No dysfunction	7
Sensory dysfunction	
Upper extremities	
Complete loss of hand sensation	0
Severe sensory loss or pain	1
Mild sensory loss	2
No sensory loss	3
Sphincter dysfunction	
Unable to urinate voluntarily	0
Marked difficulty with urination	1
Mild to moderate difficulty with urination	2
Normal urination	3
Total:	

Scoring:  $\geq$  15 points = mild, 12 to 14 points = moderate,  $\leq$  11 points = severe.<sup>9</sup>

Adapted with permission from Bakhsheshian J, Mehta VA, Liu JC. Current diagnosis and management of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Global Spine J. 2017;7(6):575, with additional information from reference 9.

massage, spinal manipulation therapy, spinal injections, and avoidance of high-risk activities.<sup>5</sup> The evidence for the effectiveness of these treatments is scarce and of low quality, and outcomes can vary with individual patients.<sup>35,38,39</sup> Nonsurgical treatments carry some risks. For example, spinal manipulation therapy and traction can cause

#### TABLE 7

## Nurick Grades for Determining the Severity of Cervical Myelopathy

Sign/symptom	Points
Signs and symptoms of root involvement but without evidence of spinal cord disease	0
Signs of spinal cord disease but no difficulty walking	1
Slight difficulty in walking that does not prevent full-time employment	2
Difficulty in walking that prevents full-time employment or the ability to do all housework, but that is not so severe that someone else's help is required to walk	3
Able to walk only with someone else's help or with the aid of a walker or other assistance device	4
Chairbound or bedridden	5
Total:	
Scoring: 0 points = mild, 1 to 2 points = moderate, $\geq 3$ severe. <sup>9</sup>	3 points =
Adapted with permission from Rakhsheshian 1 Meh	to VA Liu

Adapted with permission from Bakhsheshian J, Mehta VA, Liu JC. Current diagnosis and management of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Global Spine J. 2017;7(6):574, with additional information from reference 9.

ischemic neurologic complications, worsened myelopathy, and facial nerve paralysis.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, it is important to refer patients to physical therapists who are comfortable managing degenerative cervical myelopathy.

#### SURGICAL TREATMENT

The overall goal of surgery is spinal cord decompression and stabilization in an effort to prevent further neurologic compromise. Patients with moderate to severe myelopathic signs and symptoms (i.e., evidence of cord compression) or those with progressive disease should be evaluated by a surgeon.<sup>9,28</sup> Patients with mild to moderate disease who have not responded to physical therapy and other conservative treatments also warrant surgical evaluation.

The treatment approach in patients with radicular symptoms and evidence of cord compression on imaging but no supporting physical examination findings is more challenging. In these patients, surgical treatment for either radiculopathy or cord compression is appropriate, especially with good follow-up and supervised rehabilitation.<sup>9,17</sup> Asymptomatic patients with evidence of cord compression that is found incidentally should not be offered prophylactic surgery.<sup>37</sup>

Spinal alignment, number of vertebral levels involved, patient age and comorbidities, preoperative pain, and evidence of instability are factors to consider when

#### SORT: KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE

Clinical recommendation	Evidence rating	Comments
Conservative treatment is an appropriate initial option for patients with mild to moderate symptoms. <sup>5,36,37</sup>	В	Randomized controlled trial and systematic review 20% to 60% of these patients will deteriorate over three to six years and may eventually require surgery <sup>7,10,11</sup>
Since a delay in the diagnosis of degenerative cervical myelopa- thy can lead to poorer outcomes, evaluation and referral should be done in a timely manner. The symptoms of degenerative cervical myelopathy are persistent, with upper motor neuron signs and symptoms as the hallmark of the condition. <sup>2,12</sup>	С	Practice guidelines and expert opinion
Magnetic resonance imaging is the imaging modality of choice for patients with suspected degenerative cervical myelopathy. <sup>34</sup>	С	Consensus practice guidelines
Surgical management is indicated for patients with moderate to severe myelopathic signs and symptoms; however, even with surgery, many patients have residual deficits. <sup>9,28</sup>	С	Practice guidelines and expert opinion

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, diseaseoriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to https://www.aafp. org/afpsort.

determining the surgical approach. In general, the anterior approach is preferred when there are one or two vertebral levels involved, and the posterior approach is preferred when more than two levels are involved.<sup>8,23,40</sup> However, it should be noted that a low-quality systematic review did not show a difference in effectiveness or safety between the two approaches.<sup>8</sup>

Possible surgical complications include C5 nerve palsy (up to 30% of patients) and injuries to the recurrent laryngeal nerve (2.7%), superficial laryngeal nerve (1.3%), or vertebral artery (4.1%).<sup>25</sup> Surgical outcomes tend to be better in younger, nonsmoking patients who have fewer comorbidities and no gait dysfunction. Even with surgery, many patients have residual deficits, including pain, spasticity, neurogenic bladder and bowel, sleep disturbance, and difficulties with self-care.<sup>6,31</sup>

This article updates a previous article on this topic by Young.<sup>26</sup>

**Data Sources:** A PubMed search was completed in Clinical Queries using the key terms degenerative cervical myelopathy, myelopathy, cervical spine pain, imaging of cervical myelopathy, treatment of cervical myelopathy, and management of myelopathy. The search included meta-analyses, randomized clinical trials, clinical trials, and reviews. We also searched the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality evidence reports, Clinical Evidence, Cochrane database, Essential Evidence Plus, Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, National Guideline Clearinghouse database, and the evidence summary provided by *AFP* editors. Search dates: October 2019 and May 2020.

#### The Authors

**SHAWN F. KANE, MD, FAAFP, FACSM**, is an associate professor in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine.

**KATARINA V. ABADIE, MD,** is a resident in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine.

ADAM WILLSON, MD, is a resident in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine.

Address correspondence to Shawn F. Kane, MD, FAAFP, FACSM, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 590 Manning Dr., Chapel Hill, NC, 27599 (email: shkane@email.unc. edu). Reprints are not available from the authors.

#### References

- 1. Tracy JA, Bartleson JD. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Neurologist*. 2010;16(3):176-187.
- 2. Toledano M, Bartleson JD. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Neurol Clin.* 2013;31(1):287-305.
- 3. Yamaguchi S, Mitsuhara T, Abiko M, et al. Epidemiology and overview of the clinical spectrum of degenerative cervical myelopathy. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 2018;29(1):1-12.
- Kouri A, Tanios M, Herron JS, et al. Mimickers of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. JBJS Rev. 2018;6(10):e9.
- 5. Rhee J, Tetreault LA, Chapman JR, et al. Nonoperative versus operative management for the treatment of degenerative cervical myelopathy: an updates systematic review. *Global Spine J.* 2017;7(3 suppl):35S-41S.

#### DEGENERATIVE CERVICAL MYELOPATHY

- Milligan J, Ryan K, Fehlings M, et al. Degenerative cervical myelopathy: diagnosis and management in primary care. *Can Fam Physician*. 2019; 65(9):619-624.
- Wilson JR, Tetreault LA, Kim J, et al. State of the art in degenerative cervical myelopathy: an update on current clinical evidence. *Neurosurgery*. 2017;80(3S):S33-S45.
- Farrokhi MR, Ghaffarpasand F, Khani M, et al. An evidence-based stepwise surgical approach to cervical spondylotic myelopathy: a narrative review of the current literature. *World Neurosurg.* 2016;94:97-110.
- Fehlings MG, Tetreault LA, Riew KD, et al. A clinical practice guideline for the management of patients with degenerative cervical myelopathy: recommendations for patients with mild, moderate, and severe disease and nonmyelopathic patients with evidence of cord compression. *Global Spine J.* 2017;7(3 suppl):70S-83S.
- Karadimas SK, Erwin WM, Ely CG, et al. Pathophysiology and natural history of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013; 38(22 suppl 1):S21-S36.
- 11. Badhiwala JH, Wilson JR. The natural history of degenerative cervical myelopathy. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 2018;29(1):21-32.
- Behrbalk E, Salame K, Regev GJ, et al. Delayed diagnosis of cervical spondylotic myelopathy by primary care physicians. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2013;35(1):E1.
- 13. Gibson J, Nouri A, Krueger B, et al. Degenerative cervical myelopathy: a clinical review. *Yale J Biol Med.* 2018;91(1):43-48.
- Nouri A, Tetreault L, Singh A, et al. Degenerative cervical myelopathy: epidemiology, genetics, and pathogenesis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015; 40(12):E675-E693.
- Wilson JR, Barry S, Fischer DJ, et al. Frequency, timing, and predictors of neurological dysfunction in the nonmyelopathic patient with cervical spinal cord compression, canal stenosis, and/or ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38(22 suppl 1): S37-S54.
- Witiw CD, Smieliauskas F, Fehlings MG. Health economics and the management of degenerative cervical myelopathy. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 2018;29(1):169-176.
- Parthiban J, Alves OL, Chandrachari KP, et al. Value of surgery and nonsurgical approaches for cervical spondylotic myelopathy: WFNS Spine Committee recommendations. *Neurospine*. 2019;16(3):403-407.
- Kalsi-Ryan S, Karadimas SK, Fehlings MG. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy: the clinical phenomenon and the current pathobiology of an increasingly prevalent and devastating disorder. *Neuroscientist*. 2013; 19(4):409-421.
- Tetreault L, Goldstein CL, Arnold P, et al. Degenerative cervical myelopathy: a spectrum of related disorders affecting the aging spine. *Neuro*surgery. 2015;77(suppl 4):S51-S67.
- Bhattacharyya S. Spinal cord disorders: myelopathy. Am J Med. 2018; 131(11):1293-1297.
- 21. Bican O, Minagar A, Pruitt AA. The spinal cord: a review of functional neuroanatomy. *Neurol Clin*. 2013;31(1):1-18.
- Akter F, Kotter M. Pathobiology of degenerative cervical myelopathy. Neurosurg Clin N Am. 2018;29(1):13-19.

- Bakhsheshian J, Mehta VA, Liu JC. Current diagnosis and management of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Global Spine J.* 2017;7(6):572-586.
- Naganawa T, Miyamoto K, Ogura H, et al. Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomogram-myelography for evaluation of cross sections of cervical spinal morphology. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011;36(1):50-56.
- Sugawara T. Neurologic complications in managing degenerative cervical myelopathy: pathogenesis, prevention, and management. *Neuro*surg Clin N Am. 2018;29(1):129-137.
- 26. Young WF. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy: a common cause of spinal cord dysfunction in older persons [published correction appears in Am Fam Physician. 2001;63(10):1916]. Am Fam Physician. 2000;62(5):1064-1070. Accessed May 2, 2020. https://www.aafp.org/afp/2000/0901/ p1064.html
- 27. Cuellar J, Passias P. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy a review of clinical diagnosis and treatment. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis (2013)*. 2017;75(1):21-29.
- Davies BM, Mowforth OD, Smith EK, et al. Degenerative cervical myelopathy. BMJ. 2018;360:k186.
- 29. Iyer A, Azad TD, Tharin S. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Clin Spine Surg.* 2016;29(10):408-414.
- Rhee JM, Heflin JA, Hamasaki T, et al. Prevalence of physical signs in cervical myelopathy: a prospective, controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 2009;34(9):890-895.
- Dutton M. The nervous system. In: Dutton's Orthopaedic Examination, Evaluation, and Intervention. 4th ed. McGraw-Hill; 2017.
- 32. Fogarty A, Lenza E, Gupta G, et al. A systematic review of the utility of the Hoffmann sign for the diagnosis of degenerative cervical myelopathy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2018;43(23):1664-1669.
- Nemani VM, Kim HJ, Piyaskulkaew C, et al. Correlation of cord signal change with physical examination findings in patients with cervical myelopathy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015;40(1):6-10.
- 34. Roth C, Angevine P, Aulino JM, et al. American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria. Myelopathy. Accessed October 25, 2019. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69484/Narrative/
- Kato S, Fehlings M. Degenerative cervical myelopathy. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. 2016;9(3):263-271.
- Kadaňka Z, Bednařík J, Novotný O, et al. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy: conservative versus surgical treatment after 10 years. *Eur Spine J*. 2011;20(9):1533-1538.
- 37. Naito K, Yamagata T, Ohata K, et al. Management of the patient with cervical cord compression but no evidence of myelopathy: what should we do? *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 2018;29(1):145-152.
- Barreto TW, Svec JH. Chronic neck pain: nonpharmacologic treatment. *Am Fam Physician*. 2019;100(3):180-182. Accessed May 2, 2020. https:// www.aafp.org/afp/2019/0801/p180.html
- 39. Childress MA, Becker BA. Nonoperative management of cervical radiculopathy. *Am Fam Physician*. 2016;93(9):746-754. Accessed May 2, 2020. https://www.aafp.org/afp/2016/0501/p746.html
- Wilson JRF, Badhiwala JH, Moghaddamjou A, et al. Degenerative cervical myelopathy; a review of the latest advances and future directions in management. *Neurospine*. 2019;16(3):494-505.